Backpropagation

Karl Stratos

June 25, 2018

1/28

# Review/Setup

- A model is a function f<sub>θ</sub> defined by a set of parameters θ that receives an input x and outputs some value.
- For example, a logistic regressor is parameterized by a single vector θ = {w} and defines

$$f_{\boldsymbol{w}}(\boldsymbol{x}) := \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\boldsymbol{w}^{\top}\boldsymbol{x})} \in [0, 1]$$

which represents the probability of "on" for the given input x.

# Review/Setup

- A model is a function f<sub>θ</sub> defined by a set of parameters θ that receives an input x and outputs some value.
- For example, a logistic regressor is parameterized by a single vector θ = {w} and defines

$$f_{\boldsymbol{w}}(\boldsymbol{x}) := \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\boldsymbol{w}^{\top}\boldsymbol{x})} \in [0, 1]$$

which represents the probability of "on" for the given input x.

The model is trained by minimizing some average loss J<sub>S</sub>(θ) on training data S (e.g., the log loss for logistic regression).

# Review/Setup

- A model is a function f<sub>θ</sub> defined by a set of parameters θ that receives an input x and outputs some value.
- For example, a logistic regressor is parameterized by a single vector θ = {w} and defines

$$f_{\boldsymbol{w}}(\boldsymbol{x}) := \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\boldsymbol{w}^{\top}\boldsymbol{x})} \in [0, 1]$$

which represents the probability of "on" for the given input x.

- The model is trained by minimizing some average loss J<sub>S</sub>(θ) on training data S (e.g., the log loss for logistic regression).
- ► If J<sub>S</sub>(θ) is differentiable, we can use stochastic gradient descent (SGD) to efficiently minimize the loss.

Initialize model parameters  $\theta$  and repeat the following:

1. Choose a random "mini-batch"  $B \subset S$  of your training data.

Initialize model parameters  $\theta$  and repeat the following:

1. Choose a random "mini-batch"  $B \subset S$  of your training data.

2. Define a "partial" loss function  $J_B(\theta)$  on this mini-batch.

Initialize model parameters  $\theta$  and repeat the following:

- 1. Choose a random "mini-batch"  $B \subset S$  of your training data.
- 2. Define a "partial" loss function  $J_B(\theta)$  on this mini-batch.
- 3. Calculate the gradient of  $J_B(\theta)$  (with respect to  $\theta$ )

 $\nabla J_B(\theta)$ 

Initialize model parameters  $\theta$  and repeat the following:

- 1. Choose a random "mini-batch"  $B \subset S$  of your training data.
- 2. Define a "partial" loss function  $J_B(\theta)$  on this mini-batch.
- 3. Calculate the gradient of  $J_B(\theta)$  (with respect to  $\theta$ )

 $\nabla J_B(\theta)$ 

4. Update the parameter value

$$\theta \leftarrow \theta - \eta \nabla J_B(\theta)$$

## Calculating the Gradient

• Implication: we can optimize any (differentiable) average loss function by SGD if we can calculate the gradient of the scalar-valued loss function  $J_B(\theta) \in \mathbb{R}$  on any batch B with respect to parameter  $\theta$ .

### Calculating the Gradient

- Implication: we can optimize any (differentiable) average loss function by SGD if we can calculate the gradient of the scalar-valued loss function  $J_B(\theta) \in \mathbb{R}$  on any batch B with respect to parameter  $\theta$ .
- For simple models, we can manually specify the gradient. For example, we *derived* the gradient of the log loss

$$abla J_B^{\mathrm{LOG}}(oldsymbol{w}) = rac{1}{|B|} \sum_{(oldsymbol{x},y)\in B} \left(y - f_{oldsymbol{w}}(oldsymbol{x})
ight) oldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

and calculated this vector on batch B to update the parameter  $\boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d.$ 

## Problems with Manually Deriving Gradient Formula?

Problems with Manually Deriving Gradient Formula?

It is specific to a particular loss function.

▶ For a new loss function, you have to derive its gradient again.

## Problems with Manually Deriving Gradient Formula?

- It is specific to a particular loss function.
  - ▶ For a new loss function, you have to derive its gradient again.
- What if loss  $J_B(\theta)$  is an *extremely* complicated function of  $\theta$ ?
  - It is technically possible to manually derive a gradient formula, but it is tedious/difficult/error-prone.

Backpropagation: Input and Output

• A technique to automatically calculate  $\nabla J_B(\theta)$  for any definition of scalar-valued loss function  $J_B(\theta) \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Input**: loss function  $J_B(\theta) \in \mathbb{R}$ , parameter value  $\hat{\theta}$ **Output**:  $\nabla J_B(\hat{\theta})$ , the gradient of  $J_B(\theta)$  at  $\theta = \hat{\theta}$  Backpropagation: Input and Output

• A technique to automatically calculate  $\nabla J_B(\theta)$  for any definition of scalar-valued loss function  $J_B(\theta) \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Input**: loss function  $J_B(\theta) \in \mathbb{R}$ , parameter value  $\hat{\theta}$ **Output**:  $\nabla J_B(\hat{\theta})$ , the gradient of  $J_B(\theta)$  at  $\theta = \hat{\theta}$ 

▶ For example, when applied to the log loss  $J_B^{\text{LOG}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{w}}) \in \mathbb{R}$  at some parameter  $\hat{\boldsymbol{w}} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , it calculates  $\nabla J_B^{\text{LOG}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{w}}) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  without needing an explicit gradient formula.

Backpropagation: Input and Output

• A technique to automatically calculate  $\nabla J_B(\theta)$  for any definition of scalar-valued loss function  $J_B(\theta) \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Input**: loss function  $J_B(\theta) \in \mathbb{R}$ , parameter value  $\hat{\theta}$ **Output**:  $\nabla J_B(\hat{\theta})$ , the gradient of  $J_B(\theta)$  at  $\theta = \hat{\theta}$ 

- ▶ For example, when applied to the log loss  $J_B^{\text{LOG}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{w}}) \in \mathbb{R}$  at some parameter  $\hat{\boldsymbol{w}} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , it calculates  $\nabla J_B^{\text{LOG}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{w}}) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  without needing an explicit gradient formula.
- More generally, it can calculate the gradient of an *arbitrarily* complicated (differentiable) function of parameter θ. Including neural networks

#### Overview

#### Calculus Warm-Up

Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG) Backpropagation Computation Graph, Forward Pass Backpropagation

#### Notation

- For the most part, we will consider (differentiable) function  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  with a single 1-dimensional parameter  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- The gradient/derivative of f is a *function* of x and written as

$$\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x}: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$$

► The value of the gradient of f with respect to x at x = a is written as

$$\left. \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x} \right|_{x=a} \in \mathbb{R}$$

## Chain Rule

• Given any differentiable functions f, g from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ ,



#### Exercises

At x = 42,

- What is the value of the gradient of f(x) := 7?
- What is the value of the gradient of f(x) := 2x?
- What is the value of the gradient of f(x) := 2x + 999999?
- What is the value of the gradient of  $f(x) := x^3$ ?
- What is the value of the gradient of  $f(x) := \exp(x)$ ?
- What is the value of the gradient of  $f(x) := \exp(2x^3 + 10)$ ?
- What is the value of the gradient of

$$f(x) := \log(\exp(2x^3 + 10))$$

#### Chain Rule for a Function of Multiple Input Variables

• Let  $f_1 \dots f_m$  denote any differentiable functions from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ .

• If  $g : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$  is a differentiable function from  $\mathbb{R}^m$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\frac{\partial g(f_1(x), \dots, f_m(x))}{\partial x} = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\partial g(f_1(x), \dots, f_m(x))}{\partial f_i(x)} \times \underbrace{\frac{\partial f_i(x)}{\partial x}}_{\text{easy to calculate}}$$

► Calculate the gradient of x + x<sup>2</sup> + yx with respect to x using the chain rule.

#### Overview

Calculus Warm-Up Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG) Backpropagation Computation Graph, Forward Pass Backpropagation

### DAG

A directed acylic graph (DAG) is a directed graph G = (V, A)with a topological ordering: a sequence  $\pi$  of V such that for every arc  $(i, j) \in A$ , i comes before j in  $\pi$ .



For backpropagation: usually assume have many roots and 1 leaf

# Notation



$$V = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$$

$$V_I = \{1, 2\}$$

$$V_N = \{3, 4, 5, 6\}$$

$$A = \{(1, 3), (1, 5), (2, 4), (3, 4), (4, 6), (5, 6)\}$$

$$pa(4) = \{2, 3\}$$

$$ch(1) = \{3, 5\}$$

$$\Pi_G = \{(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6), (2, 1, 3, 4, 5, 6)\}$$

#### Overview

Calculus Warm-Up Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG) Backpropagation Computation Graph, Forward Pass Backpropagation

#### Computation Graph

▶ DAG G = (V, E) with a single output node  $\omega \in V$ .

• Every node  $i \in V$  is equipped with a value  $x^i \in \mathbb{R}$ :

- 1. For input node  $i \in V_I$ , we assume  $x^i = a^i$  is given.
- 2. For non-input node  $i \in V_N$ , we assume a differentiable function  $f^i : \mathbb{R}^{|\mathbf{pa}(i)|} \to \mathbb{R}$  and compute

$$x^i = f^i((x^j)_{j \in \mathbf{pa}(i)})$$

#### Computation Graph

▶ DAG G = (V, E) with a single output node  $\omega \in V$ .

• Every node  $i \in V$  is equipped with a value  $x^i \in \mathbb{R}$ :

- 1. For input node  $i \in V_I$ , we assume  $x^i = a^i$  is given.
- 2. For non-input node  $i \in V_N$ , we assume a differentiable function  $f^i : \mathbb{R}^{|\mathbf{pa}(i)|} \to \mathbb{R}$  and compute

$$x^i = f^i((x^j)_{j \in \mathbf{pa}(i)})$$

- Thus G represents a *function*: it receives multiple values  $x^i = a^i$  for  $i \in V_I$  and calculates a scalar  $x^{\omega} \in \mathbb{R}$ .
  - We can calculate  $x^{\omega}$  by a **forward pass**.

#### Forward Pass

**Input**: computation graph G = (V, A) with output node  $\omega \in V$ **Result**: populates  $x^i = a^i$  for every  $i \in V$ 

- 1. Pick some topological ordering  $\pi$  of V.
- 2. For *i* in order of  $\pi$ , if  $i \in V_N$  is a non-input node, set

$$x^i \leftarrow a^i := f^i((a^j)_{j \in \mathbf{pa}(i)})$$

Why do we need a topological ordering?

#### Exercise

Construct the computation graph associated with the function

$$f(x,y) := (x+y)xy^2$$

Compute its output value at x = 1 and y = 2 by performing a forward pass.

#### Overview

Calculus Warm-Up Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG) Backpropagation Computation Graph, Forward Pass Backpropagation

- Collectively refer to all input slots by  $x_I = (x^i)_{i \in V_I}$ .
- Collectively refer to all input values by  $a_I = (a^i)_{i \in V_I}$ .

- Collectively refer to all input slots by  $x_I = (x^i)_{i \in V_I}$ .
- Collectively refer to all input values by  $a_I = (a^i)_{i \in V_I}$ .

• At  $i \in V$ :

Refer to its parental slots by  $x_I^i = (x^j)_{j \in pa(i)}$ . Refer to its parental values by  $a_I^i = (a^j)_{j \in pa(i)}$ .

- Collectively refer to all input slots by  $x_I = (x^i)_{i \in V_I}$ .
- Collectively refer to all input values by  $a_I = (a^i)_{i \in V_I}$ .

• At  $i \in V$ :

Refer to its parental slots by  $x_I^i = (x^j)_{j \in pa(i)}$ . Refer to its parental values by  $a_I^i = (a^j)_{j \in pa(i)}$ .

Two equally valid ways of viewing any  $a^i \in \mathbb{R}$  as a function:

- Collectively refer to all input slots by  $x_I = (x^i)_{i \in V_I}$ .
- Collectively refer to all input values by  $a_I = (a^i)_{i \in V_I}$ .

• At  $i \in V$ :

Refer to its parental slots by  $x_I^i = (x^j)_{j \in pa(i)}$ . Refer to its parental values by  $a_I^i = (a^j)_{j \in pa(i)}$ .

Two equally valid ways of viewing any  $a^i \in \mathbb{R}$  as a function:

• A "global" function of  $x_I$  evaluated at  $a_I$ .

- Collectively refer to all input slots by  $x_I = (x^i)_{i \in V_I}$ .
- Collectively refer to all input values by  $a_I = (a^i)_{i \in V_I}$ .

• At  $i \in V$ :

Refer to its parental slots by  $x_I^i = (x^j)_{j \in pa(i)}$ . Refer to its parental values by  $a_I^i = (a^j)_{j \in pa(i)}$ .

Two equally valid ways of viewing any  $a^i \in \mathbb{R}$  as a function:

- A "global" function of  $x_I$  evaluated at  $a_I$ .
- A "local" function of  $x_I^i$  evaluated at  $a_I^i$ .

### Computation Graph: Gradients

 $\blacktriangleright$  Now for every node  $i \in V,$  we introduce an additional slot  $z^i \in \mathbb{R}$  defined as

$$z^i := \frac{\partial x^{\omega}}{\partial x^i} \Big|_{x_I = a_I}$$

- The goal of backpropagation is to calculate  $z^i$  for every  $i \in V$ .
  - Why are we done if we achieve this goal?

Chain rule on the DAG structure

$$z^i := \frac{\partial x^{\omega}}{\partial x^i} \bigg|_{x_I = a_I}$$

Chain rule on the DAG structure

$$z^i := \frac{\partial x^{\omega}}{\partial x^i} \bigg|_{x_I = a_I} = \sum_{j \in \mathsf{ch}(i)} \frac{\partial x^{\omega}}{\partial x^j} \bigg|_{x_I = a_I} \times \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial x^i} \bigg|_{x_I^j = a_I^j}$$

Chain rule on the DAG structure

$$\begin{aligned} z^{i} &:= \left. \frac{\partial x^{\omega}}{\partial x^{i}} \right|_{x_{I}=a_{I}} = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{ch}(i)} \left. \frac{\partial x^{\omega}}{\partial x^{j}} \right|_{x_{I}=a_{I}} \times \left. \frac{\partial x^{j}}{\partial x^{i}} \right|_{x_{I}^{j}=a_{I}^{j}} \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbf{ch}(i)} \left. \frac{\mathcal{Z}^{j}}{\mathcal{Z}} \times \underbrace{\left. \frac{\partial f^{j}(x_{I}^{j})}{\partial x^{i}} \right|_{x_{I}^{j}=a_{I}^{j}}}_{\text{easy to calculate}} \end{aligned}$$

Chain rule on the DAG structure

$$z^{i} := \frac{\partial x^{\omega}}{\partial x^{i}} \Big|_{x_{I}=a_{I}} = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{ch}(i)} \frac{\partial x^{\omega}}{\partial x^{j}} \Big|_{x_{I}=a_{I}} \times \frac{\partial x^{j}}{\partial x^{i}} \Big|_{x_{I}^{j}=a_{I}^{j}}$$
$$= \sum_{j \in \mathbf{ch}(i)} \underbrace{\mathbf{\mathcal{Z}}^{j}}_{\substack{i \in \mathbf{ch}(i)}} \times \underbrace{\frac{\partial f^{j}(x_{I}^{j})}{\partial x^{i}}}_{\text{easy to calculate}} \Big|_{x_{I}^{j}=a_{I}^{j}}$$

If we compute z<sup>i</sup> in a reverse topological ordering, then we will have already computed z<sup>j</sup> for all j ∈ ch(i).

Chain rule on the DAG structure

$$\begin{aligned} z^{i} &:= \left. \frac{\partial x^{\omega}}{\partial x^{i}} \right|_{x_{I}=a_{I}} = \sum_{j \in \mathsf{ch}(i)} \left. \frac{\partial x^{\omega}}{\partial x^{j}} \right|_{x_{I}=a_{I}} \times \left. \frac{\partial x^{j}}{\partial x^{i}} \right|_{x_{I}^{j}=a_{I}^{j}} \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathsf{ch}(i)} \left. \underbrace{\mathcal{Z}^{j}}_{\text{easy to calculate}} \times \underbrace{\left. \frac{\partial f^{j}(x_{I}^{j})}{\partial x^{i}} \right|_{x_{I}^{j}=a_{I}^{j}}}_{\text{easy to calculate}} \end{aligned}$$

If we compute z<sup>i</sup> in a reverse topological ordering, then we will have already computed z<sup>j</sup> for all j ∈ ch(i).

• What's the base case  $z^{\omega}$ ?

#### Backpropagation

**Input**: computation graph G = (V, A) with output node  $\omega \in V$ whose value slots  $x^i = a^i$  are already populated for every  $i \in V$ **Result**: populates  $z^i$  for every  $i \in V$ 

- 1. Set  $z^{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \leftarrow 1$ .
- 2. Pick some topological ordering  $\pi$  of V.
- 3. For *i* in reverse order of  $\pi$ , set

$$z^{i} \leftarrow \sum_{j \in \mathsf{ch}(i)} z^{j} \times \frac{\partial f^{j}(x_{I}^{j})}{\partial x^{i}} \Big|_{x_{I}^{j} = a_{I}^{j}}$$

#### Exercise

Calculate the gradient of

$$f(x,y) := (x+y)xy^2$$

with respect to x at x = 1 and y = 2 by performing backpropagation. That is, calculate the scalar

$$\frac{\partial f(x,y)}{\partial x}\Big|_{(x,y)=(1,2)}$$

24 / 28

#### Implementation

- Each type of function f creates a child node from parent nodes and initializes its gradient to zero.
  - "Add" function creates a child node c with two parents (a, b) and sets  $c.z \leftarrow 0$ .

#### Implementation

- Each type of function f creates a child node from parent nodes and initializes its gradient to zero.
  - "Add" function creates a child node c with two parents (a, b) and sets  $c.z \leftarrow 0$ .
- Each node has an associated **forward** function.
  - ► Calling forward at c populates c.x = a.x + b.x (assumes parents have their values).

#### Implementation

- Each type of function f creates a child node from parent nodes and initializes its gradient to zero.
  - "Add" function creates a child node c with two parents (a, b) and sets  $c.z \leftarrow 0$ .
- Each node has an associated **forward** function.
  - ► Calling forward at c populates c.x = a.x + b.x (assumes parents have their values).
- Each node also has an associated backward function.
  - ► Calling backward at *c* "broadcasts" its gradient *c.z* (assumes it's already calculated) to its parents

$$a.z \leftarrow a.z + c.z$$
$$b.z \leftarrow b.z + c.z$$

• Express your loss  $J_B(\theta)$  on minibatch B at  $\theta = \hat{\theta}$  as a computation graph.

- Express your loss  $J_B(\theta)$  on minibatch B at  $\theta = \hat{\theta}$  as a computation graph.
- **Forward pass.** For each node *a* in a topological ordering,

a.forward()

- Express your loss  $J_B(\theta)$  on minibatch B at  $\theta = \hat{\theta}$  as a computation graph.
- **Forward pass.** For each node *a* in a topological ordering,

a.forward()

 Backward pass. For each node a in a reverse topological ordering,

 $a. \mathbf{backward}()$ 

- Express your loss  $J_B(\theta)$  on minibatch B at  $\theta = \hat{\theta}$  as a computation graph.
- **Forward pass.** For each node *a* in a topological ordering,

#### a.forward()

 Backward pass. For each node a in a reverse topological ordering,

#### $a. {\bf backward}()$

• The gradient of  $J_B(\theta)$  at  $\theta = \hat{\theta}$  is stored in the input nodes of the computation graph.

Computation graph in which input values that are vectors

$$x^i \in \mathbb{R}^{d^i} \qquad \forall i \in V$$

But the output value  $x^{\omega} \in \mathbb{R}$  is always a scalar!

Computation graph in which input values that are vectors

$$x^i \in \mathbb{R}^{d^i} \qquad \forall i \in V$$

But the output value  $x^{\omega} \in \mathbb{R}$  is always a scalar!

 $\blacktriangleright$  The corresponding gradients are also vectors of the same size  $z^i \in \mathbb{R}^{d^i} \qquad \forall i \in V$ 

Computation graph in which input values that are vectors

$$x^i \in \mathbb{R}^{d^i} \qquad \quad \forall i \in V$$

But the output value  $x^{\omega} \in \mathbb{R}$  is always a scalar!

- $\blacktriangleright$  The corresponding gradients are also vectors of the same size  $z^i \in \mathbb{R}^{d^i} \qquad \forall i \in V$
- Backpropagation has exactly the same structure using the generalized chain rule

$$z^{i} = \sum_{j \in \mathsf{ch}(i)} \frac{\partial x^{\omega}}{\partial x^{j}} \Big|_{x_{I} = a_{I}} \times \frac{\partial x^{j}}{\partial x^{i}} \Big|_{x_{I}^{j} = a_{I}^{j}} \\ \underbrace{\frac{\partial x^{j}}{\partial x^{i}}}_{d^{j} \times d^{i}}$$

Computation graph in which input values that are vectors

$$x^i \in \mathbb{R}^{d^i} \qquad \quad \forall i \in V$$

But the output value  $x^{\omega} \in \mathbb{R}$  is always a scalar!

- $\blacktriangleright$  The corresponding gradients are also vectors of the same size  $z^i \in \mathbb{R}^{d^i} \qquad \forall i \in V$
- Backpropagation has exactly the same structure using the generalized chain rule

$$z^{i} = \sum_{j \in \mathsf{ch}(i)} \frac{\partial x^{\omega}}{\partial x^{j}} \bigg|_{x_{I} = a_{I}} \times \frac{\partial x^{j}}{\partial x^{i}} \bigg|_{x_{I}^{j} = a_{I}^{j}}$$

For detail, read the note at: http://karlstratos.com/notes/backprop.pdf

#### Vector-Valued Functions and Jacobian

▶ View  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  simply as m scalar-valued functions  $f_1 \dots f_m : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ .

$$f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} f_1(x) \\ \vdots \\ f_m(x) \end{bmatrix} \qquad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

• The Jacobian of  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  at x = a is an  $m \times n$  matrix

$$\left. \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x} \right|_{x = \mathbf{a}} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$$

whose *i*-th row is  $\nabla f_i(a) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 

Equivalently,

$$\left[\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x}\Big|_{x=a}\right]_{i,j} = \frac{\partial f_j(x)}{\partial x_i}\Big|_{x=a}$$